

# Derived representation schemes and derived Schur algebras

Farkhod Eshmatov

New Uzbekistan University  
[f.eshmatov@newuu.uz](mailto:f.eshmatov@newuu.uz)

*Joint work with Xiaojun Chen*

January 23, 2026

# Representation functor

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field.

Let  $\text{Alg}_k$  be the category of associative  $k$ -algebras.

Let  $\text{CAlg}_k$  be the category of associative and commutative  $k$ -algebras.

For  $A \in \text{Alg}_k$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider

$$\text{Rep}_n(A) : \text{CAlg}_k \rightarrow \text{Sets}, B \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(A, M_n(B)). \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Bergman - Cohn, 1974)

*The functor  $\text{Rep}_n(A)$  is representable by  $A_n \in \text{CAlg}_k$*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{CAlg}}(A_n, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(A, M_n(B))$$

If we take  $B = k$ , then

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A_n) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}}(A_n, k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(A, M_n(k)).$$

So the algebra  $A_n$  is the coordinate ring of the variety of  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $A$ .

Idea of Bergman-Cohn's theorem :

They considered the functor

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Rep}}_n(A) : \mathrm{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}, B \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(A, M_n(B)). \quad (2)$$

and showed it is representable by  $\sqrt[n]{A} \in \mathrm{Alg}_k$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(A, M_n(B)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}}(\sqrt[n]{A}, B).$$

Then  $A_n = (\sqrt[n]{A})_{ab}$  (algebra abelianization).

## Lemma

For an associative algebra  $A$ ,

$$\sqrt[n]{A} = (M_n(k) * A)^{M_n(k)}$$

where the RHS is the centralizer of the image of  $M_n(k)$  in  $M_n(k) * A$ .

Thus,  $\sqrt[n]{A}$  is generated by elements

$$a_{ij} := \sum_{m=1}^n e_{mi}ae_{jm},$$

where  $a \in A$  and  $e_{ij}$  are the elementary matrices in  $M_n(k)$ .

## Example

Let  $A = \mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle$ . Then  $\sqrt[n]{A}$  is generated by  $x_{ij}$  and  $y_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ). In fact,

$$\sqrt[n]{A} = \mathbb{C}\langle x_{ij}, y_{ij} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad A_n = \mathbb{C}[x_{ij}, y_{ij}].$$

From representability of functors  $\text{Rep}_n(A)$  and  $\widetilde{\text{Rep}}_n(A)$ , we obtain adjoint pairs of functors

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt[n]{-} : \text{Alg}_k &\leftrightarrows \text{Alg}_k : M_n(-) \\ (-)_n : \text{Alg}_k &\leftrightarrows \text{CAlg}_k : M_n(-).\end{aligned}$$

### Remark

If the category  $\text{Alg}_k$  were abelian or exact category, one could ask whether functors  $\sqrt[n]{-}$  and  $(-)_n$  are exact, and if not, what their left derived functors would be.

Y.Berest, G.Khachatryan, and A.Ramadoss, *Derived representation schemes and cyclic homology*, **Adv. Math.** 245 (2013) 625-689

# Derived Representation scheme $DRep_n(A)$

We have defined the functor

$$Rep_n : Alg_k \rightarrow CAlg_k, \quad A \mapsto A_n$$

How do we find/define  $DRep_n(A)$  ?

Consider categories:  $(DGA_k/CDGA_k)$  .

- ①  $Alg_k \hookrightarrow DGA_k$  and  $CAlg_k \hookrightarrow CDGA_k$
- ②  $Rep_n$  should be extended to a functor  $DGA_k \rightarrow CDGA_k$
- ③  $DRep_n$  should be a functor  $Ho(DGA_k) \rightarrow Ho(CDGA_k)$
- ④ Then  $DRep_n(A)$  can be defined by composition  $Alg_k \rightarrow Ho(CDGA_k)$

## Theorem (Berest-Khachatryan-Ramadoss, 2013)

For  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$ ,  $\text{Rep}_n(A) : \text{CDGA}_k \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  is representable.

(a)  $\text{Hom}_{\text{DGA}_k}(\sqrt[n]{A}, B) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{DGA}_k}(A, M_n(B))$

(b)  $\text{Hom}_{\text{CDGA}_k}(A_n, C) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{DGA}_k}(A, M_n(C))$

$$\sqrt[n]{-} : \text{DGA}_k \leftrightarrows \text{DGA}_k : M_n(-)$$

$$(-)_n : \text{DGA}_k \leftrightarrows \text{CDGA}_k : M_n(-)$$

## Remark

The functors  $\sqrt[n]{-}$  and  $(-)_n$  admit right adjoint functor  $M_n(-)$ . We will define their left derived functors, denoted  $L(\sqrt[n]{-})$  and  $L(-)_n$ , in the homotopy categories  $\text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k)$  and  $\text{Ho}(\text{CDGA}_k)$ , respectively.

## Theorem (Quillen, 1967)

$\text{DGA}_k, \text{CDGA}_k$  are *model categories*.

We recall that a *model category* is a complete and cocomplete category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with three distinguished classes of morphisms: weak equivalences (we), fibrations (fib) and cofibrations (cof) satisfying some natural axioms.

## Examples

1. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Top}$  be the category of topological spaces.
  - (a)  $f \in \text{we}$  iff  $f_* : \pi_n(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, f(x))$  is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 0$  .
  - (b)  $f \in \text{fib}$  iff  $f$  is a Serre fibration .
  - (c)  $f \in \text{cof}$  iff  $f$  is a “nice embedding”

## Examples

2. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Com}(R)$  be the category of chain complexes over a ring  $R$ .

- (a)  $\text{we} :=$  Quasi-isomorphisms (maps inducing isomorphisms on homology).
- (b)  $\text{fib} :=$  Degreewise surjections ( $f_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n$  is surjective for all  $n$ ).
- (c)  $\text{cof} :=$  Degreewise injections with projective cokernels.

One can similarly define the model category category structure on  $\text{DGA}_k$  and  $\text{CDGA}_k$ .

## Definition

For a model category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the *homotopy category*  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  is a category with the same objects as  $\mathcal{C}$ , and for two objects  $X, Y$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(QX, QY)/\text{we},$$

where  $QX, QY$  are cofibrant (quasi-free) resolutions of  $X, Y$  respectively.

## Examples

1.  $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{Top}) \simeq$  classical homotopy category of CW complexes.
2.  $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}(R)) \simeq \mathbf{D}(R)$  the usual unbounded derived category.

Now are ready to formulate the main definition of BKR (2013).

## Definition

$$\begin{aligned} L(-)_n : \text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k) &\rightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{CDGA}_k), \\ L(A)_n &:= (QA)_n, \end{aligned}$$

where,  $QA$  is a (cofibrant) resolution of  $A$ , is called *derived representation functor* and  $L(A)_n$  *derived representation scheme* .

The homology of the complex  $L(A)_n$  is denoted by  $H_*(DRep_n(A))$  and is called *derived representation homology of A* .

## Example (Computation of $L(A)_n$ )

Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ .

1. Then  $QA = \mathbb{C}\langle u, v, w \rangle$  is a free DG algebra on three generators where  $\deg(u) = \deg(v) = 0, \deg(w) = 1$  and  $dw = uv - vu$ .

$$QA \rightarrow A, u \mapsto x, v \mapsto y, w \mapsto 0$$

this induces  $H_\bullet(QA) \cong A$ .

2. Let  $u_{ij}, v_{ij}$  be variables of  $\deg=0$ , and variables  $w_{ij}$  of  $\deg=1$ . Then

$$\sqrt[n]{QA} = \mathbb{C}\langle u_{ij}, v_{ij}, w_{ij} \rangle \text{ with } \partial(w_{ij}) = (dw)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (u_{ik}v_{kj} - v_{ik}u_{kj})$$

$$L(A)_n = QA_n = (\sqrt[n]{QA})_{ab} = \mathbb{C}[u_{ij}, v_{ij}, w_{ij}]$$

# $\mathrm{GL}_n$ action on $\mathrm{Rep}_n(A)$

Let  $B \in \mathrm{CDGA}_k$  and  $\mathrm{Rep}_n(A)(B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DGA}}(A, M_n(B))$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{Rep}_n(A)(B) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_n(A)(B) \\ (g, \rho) &\mapsto \rho^g, \quad \rho^g(a) = g\rho(a)g^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

BKR showed that the functor of taking  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  invariants

$$(-)_n^{\mathrm{GL}_n} : \mathrm{DGA}_k \xrightarrow{(-)_n} \mathrm{CDGA}_k \xrightarrow{(-)^{\mathrm{GL}_n}} \mathrm{CDGA}_k$$

has the left derived functor

$$L(-)_n^{\mathrm{GL}_n} : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{DGA}_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{CDGA}_k), \quad L(A)_n^{\mathrm{GL}_n} := (QA)_n^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$$

BKR showed that  $H_*((QA)_n^{\mathrm{GL}_n}) \cong H_*(DRep_n(A))^{\mathrm{GL}_n}$ .

## Example

Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Then recall from above

$$QA_n = \mathbb{C}[x_{ij}, y_{ij}, w_{ij}], \quad dw_{ij} = \dots$$

The  $GL_n$  action is defined via the action on the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$ ,  $Y = (y_{ij})$  and  $W = (w_{ij})$  by conjugation. Then

$$L(A)_n^{GL_n} = \mathbb{C}[x_{ij}, y_{ij}, w_{ij}]^{GL_n}.$$

## Remark

The description of  $L(A)_n^{GL_n}$  is quite complicated. For example,  $L(\mathbb{C}[x, y])_4^{GL_4}$  is a quotient of polynomial algebra on 32 generators and 120 relations (X. García-Martínez- E.- R. Turdibaev, Adv. Math. 2025)

# Cyclic homology

Let  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$ . The commutator quotient space

$$A_{\natural} = \frac{A}{[A, A]}$$

where  $[A, A]$  is the super-commutator subspace. Then, we get a functor

$$(-)_{\natural} : \text{DGA}_k \rightarrow \text{Com}(k), \quad A \mapsto A_{\natural}.$$

## Theorem (Feigin-Tsygan)

*The functor  $(-)_\natural$  has a derived functor.*

$$\begin{aligned} L(-)_\natural : \text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k) &\rightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{Com}_k) \\ A &\mapsto (QA)_\natural. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for  $\forall A \in \text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k)$ ,  $\boxed{L(A)_\natural \simeq \text{CC}_\bullet(A)}$ . This implies

$$\boxed{H_*(L(A)_\natural) \simeq HC_\bullet(A)}.$$

# Universal representation

In (b), If we take  $C = A_n$ , then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CDGA}_k}(A_n, A_n) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DGA}_k}(A, M_n(A_n)).$$

The *universal representation* is the one corresponding to  $1_{A_n}$  :

$$\pi_A : A \rightarrow M_n(A_n).$$

If  $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DGA}_k}(A, M_n(C))$ , then  $\exists$  unique  $\bar{\rho} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CDGA}_k}(A_n, C)$ ,

$M_n(\bar{\rho}) : M_n(A_n) \rightarrow M_n(C)$  so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M_n(A_n) \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow M_n(\bar{\rho}) \\ & & M_n(C). \end{array}$$

## Derived trace

Consider  $A \xrightarrow{\pi_A} M_n(A_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} A_n$  which vanishes on  $[A, A]$

$$\text{Tr} : A_{\natural} \rightarrow A_n$$

### Theorem (BKR)

For  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$ , the trace map  $\text{Tr}$  descends to a map of chain complexes

$$\text{DTr} : L(A)_{\natural} \rightarrow L(A)_n \text{ derived trace}$$

and it induces

$$\boxed{\text{DTr} : HC_*(A) \rightarrow H_*(DRep_n(A))} .$$

### Remark

In fact, we have  $\text{DTr} : L(A)_{\natural} \rightarrow L(A)_n^{\text{GL}_n}$  or

$$\text{DTr} : HC_*(A) \rightarrow H_*(DRep_n(A))^{\text{GL}_n} .$$

# Problems

- (a)  $\text{DRep}_n(A)$  can be written explicitly, but  $\text{DRep}_n(A)^{\text{GL}_n}$  is quite complicated.
- (b)  $\text{DTr}$  is a chain map, and hence "linear". Is there any "nonlinear" generalization of this map?

A: Derived multiplicative polynomial laws.

# Multiplicative polynomial laws

Let  $R$  be a commutative  $k$ -algebra. Let  $\text{Mod}(R)$  be the category of  $R$ -modules.

## Definition

Let  $M, N \in \text{Mod}(R)$ . A *polynomial law*  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is a family of maps

$\{\phi_A : A \otimes_R M \rightarrow A \otimes_R N\}_{A \in \text{CAlg}_k}$ , such that for all  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{CAlg}}(A, B)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & A \otimes_R N \\ f \otimes Id_M \downarrow & & \downarrow f \otimes Id_N \\ B \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & B \otimes_R N \end{array}$$

A polynomial law  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is called *homogeneous of degree  $n$*  if

$$\phi(au) = a^n \phi(u), \text{ for all } a \in A, u \in A \otimes_R M, A \in \text{CAlg}_k.$$

We denote by  $\text{P}^n(M, N)$  the set of all polynomial laws of degree  $n$ .

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $k$ -algebras and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_A(xy) &= \phi_A(x)\phi_A(y) \\ \phi_A(1_{A \otimes M}) &= 1_{A \otimes N}.\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A \otimes_k M$ , then  $\phi$  is called *multiplicative*.

We denote by  $\text{MP}_R^n(M, N)$  the set of all multiplicative maps of degree  $n$ .

## Examples

1.  $\text{MP}_R^1(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_k}(A, B)$ .
2.  $B \in \text{CAlg}_k$ ,  $\det : M_n(B) \rightarrow B$  is an element of  $\text{MP}_R^n(M_n(B), B)$ .
3. If  $B \in \text{CAlg}_k$ , then  $b \mapsto b^n$  is an element of  $\text{MP}_R^n(B, B)$ .

# Divided power algebra (DPA)

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the **divided power algebra** of  $M$ ,  $\Gamma_R(M)$ ,

is a commutative algebra with identity  $1_R$  and product  $\times$ , and generators

$m^{(k)}$  for all  $m \in M, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the following relations are satisfied:

- (1)  $m^{(1)} = 0$ , for all  $i < 0$
- (2)  $m^{(0)} = 1_R$ , for all  $m \in M$
- (3)  $(am)^{(i)} = a^i m^{(i)}$ , for all  $a \in R, i \in \mathbb{N}$
- (4)  $(m + n)^{(k)} = \sum_{i+j=k} m^{(i)} \times n^{(j)}$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$
- (5)  $(m)^{(i)} \times (m)^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i} m^{(i+j)}$ , for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We write  $a_1^{(i_1)} \times \cdots \times a_r^{(i_r)}$  in the form  $\prod_{j=1}^r a_j^{(i_j)}$ . As an  $R$ -module  $\Gamma_R(M)$  is the  $R$ -linear combination of finite products  $\prod_{j=1}^r a_j^{(i_j)}$ .

$\Gamma_R^n(M) :=$  the submodule generated by  $\{\prod_{j=1}^n a_j^{(i_j)} : \sum_{j=1}^r i_j = n\}$

Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be  $R$ -module homomorphism. Then we define

$$\Gamma^n(f) : \Gamma^n(M) \rightarrow \Gamma^n(N)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^n a_j^{(i_j)} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j)^{(i_j)}.$$

So  $\Gamma^n : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_R$  is a functor.

**Fact.** The map

$$\gamma^n : M \rightarrow \Gamma_R^n(m), \quad r \mapsto r^{(n)}$$

is a polynomial law of homogeneous of degree  $n$ .

### Theorem (Roby, 1963)

$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(R)}(\Gamma_R^n(M), N) \simeq \text{P}_R^n(M, N)$  given by  $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma^n$ .

So  $\Gamma_R^n(M)$  is representing object of  $\text{P}_R^n(M, -)$  functor.

## Theorem (Roby, 1980)

If  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra.

(i)  $\Gamma_R^n(A)$  has a structure of an  $R$ -algebra whose product is denoted by  $*$  and is given by

$$a^{(n)} * b^{(n)} = (ab)^{(n)} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$

So, we have a functor

$$\Gamma_R^n(-) : \text{Alg}_R \rightarrow \text{Alg}_R.$$

(ii) For any  $A, B \in \text{Alg}_R$ ,  $\boxed{\text{MP}_R^n(A, B) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_R}(\Gamma_R^n(A), B)}$ .

(iii) If  $C \in \text{CAlg}_R$ , then  $\boxed{\text{MP}_R^n(A, C) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_R}(\Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab}, C)}$ .

## Corollary

For  $A \in \text{Alg}_R$ ,  $\Gamma_R^n(A)$  and  $\Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab}$  are the representing objects of  $\text{MP}_R^n(A, -)$  in the categories  $\text{Alg}$  and  $\text{CAlg}_R$  respectively.

# Derived divided power algebras

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, let  $\text{DGA}_R$  and  $\text{CDGA}_R$  be the categories of DG  $R$ -algebras, and DG commutative  $R$ -algebras, respectively.

Let  $M$  be  $R$  DG-module with differential  $\partial$  of degree  $= -1$ . Then the *divided power algebra* of  $M$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_R(M)$  is a DG commutative  $R$ -algebra with conditions (1)-(5) above and

$$(6) \quad \partial(m^{(i)}) = (\partial m)^{(1)} \times m^{(i-1)}, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

## Lemma

Let  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$ . Then  $(\Gamma_R^n(A), *, d)$  is a DG  $R$ -algebra.

## Theorem

Let  $A, B \in \text{DGA}_R$  and  $C \in \text{CDGA}_R$ . Then

- (1)  $\text{DGMP}_R^n(A, B) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{DGA}_R}(\Gamma_R^n(A), B)$
- (2)  $\text{DGMP}_R^n(A, C) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{CDGA}_R}(\Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab}, C)$

## The functor

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_R^\bullet(-) : \text{DGMod}_R &\rightarrow \text{DGMod}_R \\ M &\mapsto \Gamma_R^n(M)\end{aligned}$$

defined by Dold-Puppe in 1958.

In 1967, Quillen showed  $\Gamma_R^\bullet(-)$  has the left derived functor.

Theorem (Chen-E., 2025)

*The functor*

$$\Gamma_k^n : \text{DGA}_k \rightarrow \text{DGA}_k, \quad A \mapsto \Gamma_k^n(A)$$

*has a left derived functor*

$$\begin{aligned}D\Gamma_k^n : \text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k) &\rightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k) \\ A &\mapsto \Gamma_k^n(QA)\end{aligned}$$

*where  $QA$  is a cofibrant resolution of  $A$ .*

## Definition

For any  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$ ,  $\text{D}\Gamma_k^n(A)$  is called the *derived Schur algebra*.

For  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$ , we define

$$\text{Sym}^n(A) := (A^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$$

*n-th tensor symmetric algebra*. Then the map

$$\Gamma^n(A) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n(A), x^{(n)} \mapsto \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras when  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .

In invariant theory, the algebra  $\text{Sym}^n(A)$  for  $A = \text{End}_k(V)$  is called *Schur algebra*. In that case

$$\text{Sym}^n(A) \cong \text{End}_{S_n}(V^{\otimes n}).$$

# Derived divided power and derived representations

Let  $A \in \mathrm{DGA}_R$ ,  $B \in \mathrm{CDGA}_k$ , and  $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DGA}_k}(A, M_n(B))$ . Then

$$\det \circ \rho \in \mathrm{MP}_R^n(A, B).$$

Since  $\mathrm{MP}_R^n(A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CDGA}_R}(\Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab}, B)$ , there is a unique map  $\det_\rho : \Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab} \rightarrow B$ ,

$$\det \circ \rho = \det_\rho \circ \gamma^n.$$

In particular, for  $\pi_A : A \rightarrow M_n(A_n)$

$$\underline{\det} := \det_{\pi_A} : \Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab} \rightarrow A_n.$$

Since  $\det$  is invariant under  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  action, we get a map

$$\underline{\underline{\det}} : \Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab} \rightarrow A_n^{\mathrm{GL}_n}.$$

## Theorem (Chen-E, 2025)

For any  $A \in \text{DGA}_k$

$$\underline{\det} : \text{D}\Gamma_R^n(A)^{ab} \rightarrow \text{DRep}_n(A)^{\text{GL}_n}$$

is an isomorphism in  $\text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k)$ .

# Higher order trace map

Let  $B \in \text{CDGA}_k$ . For a matrix  $b \in M_n(B)$ , let  $e_i(b) := \text{tr}(\wedge^i b)$ , which we call the *i-th higher order trace* of  $b$ . It is well-known that

$$\det(\lambda \cdot I - b) = \lambda^n + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i e_i(b) \lambda^{n-i}.$$

For any  $A \in \text{Ho}(\text{DGA}_k)$ , let us denote

$$\text{CC}_{\bullet}^{[i]}(A) := (\text{D}\Gamma_k^i(A)_{\natural}, \partial)$$

and call it the *i-th higher order cyclic complex* of  $A$ .

Then we obtain a well-defined chain map:

$$\text{DTr}^i : \text{CC}_{\bullet}^{[i]}(A) \rightarrow \text{DRep}_n(A)^{\text{GL}_n}, \quad \bar{u} \mapsto e_i(u),$$

which we call the *i-th derived higher order trace map*.

# Thank You!